

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7 ROBERT MCCORKLE AND MARGARET MCCORKLE,

Plaintiffs,

No. C 07-1284 PJH

V.

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER SHORTENING TIME RE MOTION TO REMAND

13 Before the court is plaintiff's application for an order shortening time in connection
14 with plaintiff's pending motion for remand, which is currently set for hearing on May 9,
15 2007.

16 Upon review of plaintiff's application and plaintiff's concurrently filed motion to
17 remand, it appears to the court that the only defendant with a potential basis for federal
18 jurisdiction has now been dismissed. As such, remand will likely be appropriate in the
19 case. Furthermore, the court finds that plaintiff has presented good cause for shortening
20 time regarding the hearing on the motion to remand. Accordingly, the court hereby
21 GRANTS plaintiff's application for an order shortening time. The hearing on the motion to
22 remand, previously scheduled for hearing on May 9, 2007, is now rescheduled for hearing
23 on **April 18, 2007**.

24 Any defendant wishing to oppose plaintiff's motion to remand must file an opposition
25 by Friday, April 13, 2007. Plaintiff must file any reply brief by Monday, April 16, 2007.
26 Alternatively, and in lieu of a motion to remand, plaintiff may, if he wishes, obtain a
27 stipulation from all parties in the case agreeing to remand the matter to state court. If such
28 a stipulation is filed with the court on or before Monday, April 16, 2007, the hearing on the

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 motion to remand will be vacated.
2

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: April 10, 2007



5 **PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON**
6 United States District Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28